rocess#	Actor	Process	Input(s)	Output(s)	Tentative Start Date	Tentative End Date	Status
hase 1	L: Ground	dwork for Uniform Provider Type Defini	tions & Provider Des	scriptions			
1.00		Uniform Provider Type Definition AID creates the Provider Types list that will be monitered for Network Adequacy along with NUCC Taxonomic components. The initial list is prepared in consultation with with Arkansas Department of Health, Arkansas Center for Health Improvement etc. and later provided to the carriers for comment.	1) NUCC Taxonomy	1) Provider Type Definitions			Industry Comment pending
2.00		Uniform Provider Description This is a collaborative attempt to create a uniform view of healthcare providers who administer services to Arkansans, starting with the NPI Registry and carrier's NPI lists.					
2.10		Carriers furnish an NPI list of Providers, who serve Arkansans, via template provided by AID.	Individual carrier's claims and/or contract data	1) Individual carrier's <i>Provider</i> <i>NPI</i> list			
2.15	Carriers	Individual carriers provide Employer NPI-Provider NPI relationship lists to AID via templates provided by AID	Individual carrier's claims and/or contract data	1) Individual carrier's <i>Employer</i> NPI-Provider NPI relationship lists			
2.20	AID	AID aggregates all NPI lists into a consolidated Provider Type -NPI list. This is done by first removing NPI duplications sumitted by various	1) Outputs of Process#2.10 2) NPI Registry 3) Output of Process#1.00 Provider Type Definitions	1) Initial <i>Provider Type-NPI list</i>			

rocess#	Actor	Process	Input(s)	Output(s)	Tentative Start Date	Tentative End Date	Status
2.25	AID	AID consolidates Individual carrier's Employer NPI-	1) Output of	1) Consolidated Employer NPI-			
		Provider NPI relationship lists. This consolidated	Process#2.15	Provider NPI relationship list			
		list will have one row for each Employer NPI-					
		Provider NPI combination represtenting the					
		relationship. This dataset is for carriers to make					
		sure they are not missing NA coverage data based					
		on limited in-house relationship data					
2 30	Individual	Carriers communicate changes necessary to the	1) Consolidated Provider	Addition-Deletion suggestions			
		Consolidated Provider Type-NPI list through	Type-NPI list - Output	to the Provider Type- NPI list via			
	Carriers	addition/deletion suggestions in the template	from Process#2.20	template provided by AID			
		provided by AID		,			
2.40			1) Output of	1) Consolidated Addition-Deletion			
		the Provider Type-NPI list for Industry to vote.	Process#2.30	suggestions to the NPI-Taxonomy			
				association information			
2.50	Individual	Carriers vote on the consolidated Addition-	1) Output of	1) Individial carrier votes on the			
	Carriers	Deletion suggestions to Provider Type- NPI list via	Process#2.40	Consolidated Addition-Deletion			
		template provided by AID		suggestions to the Provider Type- NPI list			
2.60	AID	AID processes carrier votes to finalize NPI-	1) Output of	1) Final Consolidated Provider			
		Taxonomy association list. (AID preserves carriers	Process#2.50	Type -NPI list (Final Provider			
		votes for reuse in subsequent years.)		Description)			
		At the end of this process a Uniform Provider Type					
		Definitions and Uniform Provider Description is					
		available to all stakeholders in Arkansas.					

Process#	Actor	Process	Input(s)	Output(s)	Tentative	Tentative	Status
					Start Date	End Date	
3.00	Individual	Individual carriers now use the Uniform Provider	1) Output of	1) Network Adequacy reports			
	Carriers	Type Definitions, finalized Uniform Provider	Process#2.25	a) Excel countywise reports (via			
		Descriptions and the consolidated Employer NPI-	2) Output of	AID templates)			
		Provider NPI relationship list to create their	Process#2.60	b) Geo access maps			
		provider type pools for the generation of the		2) Initial justifications (via AID			
		network adequacy reports for AID review.		templates)			
				3) Federal Data templates related			
				to Network Adequacy			
3.10	AID	AID reviews Network Adequacy reports in	1) Output of	Any combination of the following:			
		conjunction with justifications w.r.t. Rule 106 and	Process#3.00	1) Objections when justifications			
		in comparison with other carriers.		are deemed inadequate and/or			
				degree of NA non-compliance			
				versus provider availablity			
				warrants regulatory			
				communication			
				2) State approval (provisional or			
				otherwise)			
				3) Denial of State approval			
3.20	Individual	Response to objections if made by AID.	1) Objections by AID	1) Revised Justifications via AID			
	Carriers		(Output of Process#3.10)	template (and revised NA reports			
				if deemed necessary)			
3.30	Individial	Potential back and forth between Individial		Either of the two:			
	Carriers/	carriers and AID through repeat of Process#3.10 &		1) State approval (provisional or			
	AID	Process#3.20		otherwise)			
				2) Denial of State approval			
Phase 3	3: Monito	oring Network Adequacy					
4.00	Individual	Individual carriers to provide snapshot of NA data	1) Output of	1) Network Adequacy Excel			
	Carriers	on a semi-annual basis to enable AID to review	Process#2.25	countywise reports (via AID			
		changes in the carrier networks.	2) Output of	templates)			
			Process#2.60	2) Federal Data templates related			
				to Network Adequacy			

Process#	Actor	Process	Input(s)	Output(s)	Tentative	Tentative	Status
					Start Date	End Date	
4.10		AID monitors Network Adequacy based on various inputs	Any combination of the following 1) Consumer Complaints 2) Output of Process#4.10 3) Analysis of online provider directories w.r.t. other NA data	Any combination of the following: 1) Recommendation of improvements (through objections) 2) Withdrawal of State approval			
	Individual Carriers	Response to objections if made by AID.	1) Objections by AID (Output of Process#4.10)	1) Revised Justifications using AID template (and revised NA reports if deemed necessary)			
	Carriers/	Potential back and forth between Individial carriers and AID through repeat of Process#4.10 & Process#4.20		Either of the two: 1) State approval (provisional or otherwise) 2) Denial of State approval			